Implementing Hedin's GW scheme for molecules of N atoms in O(N³) operations

D. Foerster* P. Koval[€] D. Sanchez-Portal[€]

* CPMOH / LOMA, Bordeaux, France
 € Materials Physics Center, Donostia, Spain











Nanospain 14/4/2011 Bilbao

Experimental Motivation : Revolution in Organic Semiconductors Must predict properties of constituent organic molecules before synthesis



Figure 1: Roll-to-roll production of flexible organic photovoltaic modules. (Source: Konarka)



Anil Duggal, who heads up GE Global Research's Organic Electronics Project, says sheets of organic light-emitting diodes, such as the one above, might be the future of lighting.



BULK HETEROJUNCTION





Thiebaut/Bock/Grelet Bordeaux 2010 columnar photo active cells



Georges Hadziioannou, Bordeaux Karl Leo, Dresden

Theoretical Motivation: TDDFT does not work for excitons GW+BSE work for excitons / organic molrcules Existing implementations slow ~ N⁴



Hedin's equations

~ 1965

electron-hole interaction

Hedin's approximation applied to semi conductors ~1980Hedin's approximation applied to molecules: ~1990's Louie + Rohlfing

GW+BSE on biological chromophores ~ 2010 Rohlfing

GW for typical small molecules of photovoltaics 2011 Blase et al.

Construction of a local product basis

$$\psi(r,t) = \sum_{a} f^{a}(r)c_{a}(t) \qquad \text{LCAO 1927}$$

$$n(r,t) = \psi^{+}(r,t)\psi(r,t) = \sum_{a,b} f^{a}(r)f^{b}(r)c_{a}^{+}(t)c_{b}(t) \qquad \text{(too many products)} \qquad 1975$$



* no fitting functions operations for * O(N) operations one atom pair at a * locality time $f^{a}(r)f^{b}(r) \sim \sum_{\mu} V^{ab}_{\mu}F^{\mu}(r)$ $F^{\lambda}(r) = \sum_{a,b} X^{\lambda}_{ab}f^{a}(r)f^{b}(r)$

method of auxiliary functions looses precision

Bebe-Lindenberg Cholesky deomposition looses locality

basis from original space – thanks to Talman's algorithms

Locality in molecular interactions lowers complexity



$$\chi_{\mu\nu}(\omega) = -iTrV_{\mu}G(\omega)V_{\nu}G(-\omega) \qquad \Sigma^{ab}(\omega) = iV_{\mu}^{aa'}G_{a'b'}(\omega)V_{\mu}^{b'b}W^{\mu\nu}(-\omega)V_{\mu}^{b'b}W^{\mu\nu}($$

Given $G_{ab}(\omega)$ need $O(N^2)$ Given $G_{ab}(\omega)$, $W^{\mu\nu}(\omega)$, need $O(N^2)$ operations to find $\chi^0_{\mu\nu}$ operations to find $\Sigma_{ab}(\omega)$

→ think locally, use $G_{ab}(\omega)$, $W^{\mu\nu}(\omega)$, $\Sigma_{ab}(\omega)$ → avoid energy representation → obtain O(N³) scaling

Reduction of dimension of products

O(N) pairs {E,F} must suffice In practice, only ~ 1/10 of O(N) pairs important $\rightarrow 10^3$ acceleration in screened Coulomb



Computational Results

DOS, HOMO & LUMO



GW correctly predicts anthracene to be an aceptor while benzene and naphthalene to be donor. Dynamical part of $\Sigma(\omega)$ is responsible for this change \Rightarrow correlation makes the difference.

Computational results



Conclusions

 $O(N^3)$ implementation of Hedin's GW approach for organic molecules due to simple mathematical trick / GW+BS poised to replace TDDFT

Prediction of properties of organic molecules + their excitons before synthesis might help in organic photovolaics -



Apply same mathematical trick elsewhere in nano physics / nano chemistry

Thanks

James Talman, Western Ontario : algorithms + computer codes+correspondence

Arno Schindlmayr, Paderborn, Xavier Blase, Grenoble, Michael Rohlfing, Osnabrueck: for correspondence

AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

more details by Peter Koval in HPC meeting